

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/034,852	12/28/2001	Amarendra K. Rai	UNI 0041 PA	9645
75	90 02/28/2005	EXAMINER		
Killworth, Gottman, Hagan & Schaeff, L.L.P.			TURNER, ARCHENE A	
Suite 500 One Dayton Cer	ntre		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Dayton, OH 45402-2023			1775	
			DATE MAILED: 02/28/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/034,852	RAI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Archene A Turner	1775					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 D</u>	ecember 2004						
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 19,21-26 and 47 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 19,21-26 and 47 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∍ 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	nte					
I) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/034,852

Art Unit: ***

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Page 2

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The metal oxides listed are not rare earth oxides, rendering the claims indefinite.

3. Claim 47 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear whether the phrase "said metal oxide overlayer" is the same as the previous "an yttrium oxide overlayer", rendering this claim indefinite.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/034,852 Page 3

Art Unit: ***

5. Claims 19, 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hashimoto et al (6,077,596).

Hashimoto et al discloses the claimed metal oxide coating over the claimed intermediate layer (column 2, lines 25-43).

6. Claims 19, 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mehrotra et al (4,880,755).

Mehrotra et al discloses the claimed metal oxide coating over the claimed intermediate layer (claim 9).

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 19,21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sarin et al (4,702,970 or 4,701,384).

Sarin et al discloses the claimed zirconia or yttria coating over a claimed intermediate layer on a substrate. Sarin et al does not disclose the intermediate layer being more than one layer or the use of scandia or lanthania.

Application/Control Number: 10/034,852

Art Unit: ***

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the claimed interlayer as at least two layers, and providing them with the claimed components, as the technique to include an initial metallic layer is known in the coating art to provide improved adherence of the disclosed metal carbide, nitride or carbonitride intermediate layer.

It would have also been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide substitute scandia or lanthania for yttria in Sarin et al, as these metal oxides are known in the chemical art to be functionally equivalent to yttria.

9. Claims 19,24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rechberger et al (5,965,253).

Rechberger et al discloses the claimed metal oxide coating over a claimed intermediate layer on a substrate. Rechberger et al does not disclose the intermediate layer being more than one layer.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the claimed interlayer as at least two layers, and providing them with the claimed components, as the technique to include an initial metallic layer is known in the coating art to provide improved adherence of the disclosed metal carbide, nitride, carbonitride or oxide intermediate layer.

Application/Control Number: 10/034,852

Art Unit: ***

10. Claim 47 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hashimoto et al (6,077,596) or Mehrotra et al (4,880,755) or Sarin et al (4,702,970 or 4,701,384) or Rechberger et al (5,965,253).

The above references disclose the invention substantially as claimed except for the claimed gradation in the intermediate layer.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the intermediate layer with the claimed gradation, as this technique is known in the art to improve stress and adherence in the coating.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Archene Turner whose new telephone number is (571) 272-1545. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Wednesday, and Friday from 10:30 am. to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on (571) 272-1535. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Please remember to include on the fax, the art unit 1775, serial number and Examiner's name.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Page 6

Art Unit: ***

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A. A. Turner Primary Examiner Group 1700

aat